

PUBLICATION UPDATE

Route to: _____ _____ _____ _____
 _____ _____ _____ _____

CIVIL RICO

Publication 527

Release 59

April 2018

HIGHLIGHTS

Recent Case Law

- Release 59 discusses numerous important new cases related to the RICO statute.

Recent developments discussed in Release 59 include:

In *United States v. Pinson*, 860 F.3d 152 (4th Cir. 2017), the Fourth Circuit held that the government failed to prove a single RICO conspiracy in which each conspirator shared “the same criminal objective” and the evidence was insufficient to prove an association-in-fact enterprise. The four ventures in this case lacked the “common purpose” and relationships among associates necessary to establish a RICO enterprise. *See* Chapter 3, *The Enterprise Element*, ¶ 3.01.

In *UIIT4Less, Inc. v. FedEx Corp.*, 871 F.3d 199 (2d Cir. 2017), the Second Circuit held that if a corporate defendant can be liable for participating in an enterprise comprised only of its agents, RICO liability will attach to any act of corporate wrongdoing, and the statute’s distinctness re-

quirement will be rendered meaningless. The court rejected the plaintiff’s attempt to avoid the distinctness issue by alleging that the enterprise was a discrete and separately incorporated subsidiary of FedEx, rather than an association-in-fact, finding the difference immaterial. *See* Chapter 3, *The Enterprise Element*, ¶¶ 3.07[1], 3.07[2][a].

In *Roppo v. Travelers Commercial Insurance Co.*, 869 F.3d 568 (7th Cir. 2017), the Seventh Circuit reversed the dismissal of a *pro se* RICO complaint. The court found that although the complaint might not be sufficiently specific to meet the heightened pleading requirements of Rule 9(b), the allegations of conduct and racketeering activity were neither wholly insubstantial nor legally unsound. *See* Chapter 4, *The Pattern Element*, ¶ 4.04[2].

In *United States v. Odum*, 2017 U.S. App. LEXIS 24231 (6th Cir. Nov. 30, 2017), the Sixth Circuit held that if an enterprise engages in economic activity, even a *de minimis* connection to interstate commerce is sufficient to meet the interstate prong of 18 U.S.C. § 1959. The court noted that 18 U.S.C. § 1959(b)(1) uses a specific definition of “racketeering activ-

ity” that is separate from the definition of “pattern of racketeering activity” used in RICO. *See* Chapter 5, *Section 1962*, ¶ 5.04[2][a].

In *United States v. Ferriero*, 866 F.3d 107 (3d Cir. 2017), the Third Circuit established a test that asks whether racketeering was a means of conducting the enterprise’s affairs, but does not foreclose satisfying the nexus when a defendant’s position in the enterprise also enabled or facilitated the racketeering—two situations that may well overlap. *See* Chapter 5, *Section 1962*, ¶ 5.04[3][d].

In *RJR Nabisco, Inc. v. European Community*, ___ U.S. ___, 136 S. Ct. 2090, 195 L. Ed. 2d 476 (2016), the United States Supreme Court held that, while RICO applies extraterritorially, its civil RICO claims do not. A civil RICO plaintiff must allege *domestic* injury to its business or property. *See* Chapter 6, *Instituting a Civil RICO Action*, ¶ 6.04[5][a][x].

In *Bascunan v. Elsaca*, 874 F.3d 806 (2d Cir. 2017), the Second Circuit held that if a plaintiff alleges more than one injury, courts should separately analyze each injury to determine whether any of the injuries alleged are domestic. The court went on to hold that an injury to property is domestic only if the property was physically located in the United States, regardless of whether bank accounts in the United States were used to facilitate or conceal the theft of property abroad. *See* Chapter 6, *Instituting a Civil RICO Action*, ¶ 6.04[5][a][x].

In *Rizvi v. Urstadt Biddle Properties, Inc.*, 2018 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 7914 (D. Conn. Jan. 17, 2018), the district court held

that granting an extension of time to file a motion to dismiss tolls the period for filing an amended complaint as of right. *See* Chapter 7, *Pretrial Proceedings*, ¶ 7.01.

In *Vibe Micro, Inc. v. Shabanets*, 878 F.3d 1291 (11th Cir. 2018), the court dismissed civil RICO claims for being “shotgun pleadings.” The term “shotgun pleading” is a judicial conclusion that can be based on various perceived pleading deficiencies that themselves may not go to the merits of a claim. *See* Chapter 7, *Pretrial Proceedings*, ¶ 7.02[7][f].

In *Newman v. Jewish Agency for Israel*, 2017 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 202524 (S.D.N.Y. Dec. 8, 2017), foreign government officials being sued under RICO for acts taken in their official capacities were held to have common-law immunity from suit. *See* Chapter 9, *Defenses*, ¶ 9.02[3][c].

In *Gonzalez v. Velez*, 864 F.3d 45 (1st Cir. 2017), the First Circuit held that Congress intended to preclude civil RICO claims by enacting Title VII of the Civil Rights Act and the Civil Service Reform Act. *See* Chapter 9, *Defenses*, ¶ 9.14.

In *Hunt v. Moore Brothers, Inc.*, 861 F.3d 655 (7th Cir. 2017), the Seventh Circuit affirmed a Rule 11 sanctions determination for the plaintiff’s failure to comply with an arbitration obligation. The court took the occasion to characterize the plaintiff’s RICO arguments as “beyond the pale” and to complain that the case was a simple commercial dispute, although that was not discernible from reading the plaintiff’s complaint. *See* Chapter 10, *Remedies*, ¶ 10.09.

Matthew Bender provides continuing customer support for all its products:

- Editorial assistance—please consult the “Questions About This Publication” directory printed on the copyright page;
- Customer Service—missing pages, shipments, billing or other customer service matters, +1.800.833.9844.
- Outside the United States and Canada, +1.937.247.0293, or fax (+1.800.828.8341) or email (international@bender.com);
- Toll-free ordering (+1.800.223.1940) or visit www.lexisnexis.com/BrowseUs.



www.lexis.com

Copyright © 2018 Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.
Publication 527, Release 59, April 2018

LexisNexis, the knowledge burst logo, and Michie are trademarks of Reed Elsevier Properties Inc., used under license. Matthew Bender is a registered trademark of Matthew Bender Properties Inc.

FILING INSTRUCTIONS

Civil RICO

Publication 527 Release 59

April 2018

**Check
As
Done**

- 1. Check the Title page in the front of your present Volume 1. It should indicate that your set is filed through Release Number 58. If the set is current, proceed with the filing of this release. If your set is not filed through Release Number 58, DO NOT file this release. Please call Customer Services at 1-800-833-9844 for assistance in bringing your set up to date.
- 2. This Release Number 59 contains only White Revision pages.
- 3. Circulate the "Publication Update" among those individuals interested in the contents of this release.

**Check
As
Done**

*Remove Old
Pages Numbered*

*Insert New
Pages Numbered*

For faster and easier filing, all references are to right-hand pages only.

VOLUME 1

Revision

<input type="checkbox"/>	Title page thru ix	Title page thru ix
<input type="checkbox"/>	3-3 thru 3-4.1	3-3 thru 3-4.1
<input type="checkbox"/>	3-79 thru 3-88.1	3-79 thru 3-88.1
<input type="checkbox"/>	4-40.1	4-40.1
<input type="checkbox"/>	4-60.1 thru 4-61.	4-61 thru 4-62.1
<input type="checkbox"/>	5-31 thru 5-35	5-31 thru 5-36.1
<input type="checkbox"/>	5-46.9 thru 5-46.13	5-46.9 thru 5-46.13
<input type="checkbox"/>	6-25	6-25 thru 6-26.1
<input type="checkbox"/>	6-50.1	6-50.1 thru 6-50.3
<input type="checkbox"/>	6-65	6-65 thru 6-66.1
<input type="checkbox"/>	6-80.3 thru 6-80.5	6-80.3 thru 6-80.5
<input type="checkbox"/>	6-100.1 thru 6-103	6-101 thru 6-104.5
<input type="checkbox"/>	6-135 thru 6-138.1	6-135 thru 6-138.2(1)
<input type="checkbox"/>	7-1 thru 7-10.3	7-1 thru 7-10.3
<input type="checkbox"/>	7-25	7-25 thru 7-26.1
<input type="checkbox"/>	7-30.20(5) thru 7-30.20(17)	7-30.20(5) thru 7-30.20(23)
<input type="checkbox"/>	7-30.30(11) thru 7-30.31	7-30.31 thru 7-30.32(1)
<input type="checkbox"/>	9-38.11 thru 9-38.15.	9-38.11 thru 9-38.15
<input type="checkbox"/>	9-105 thru 9-107	9-105 thru 9-108.1
<input type="checkbox"/>	10-47 thru 10-51	10-47 thru 10-51
<input type="checkbox"/>	TC-1 thru I-25	TC-1 thru I-25

FILE IN THE FRONT OF THE FIRST VOLUME
OF YOUR SET

To order missing pages log on to our self service center, www.lexisnexis.com/printcdsc or call Customer Services at 1 (800) 833-9844 and have the following information ready:

- (1) the publication title;
- (2) specific volume, chapter and page numbers; and
- (3) your name, phone number, and Matthew Bender account number.

Please recycle removed pages.

MISSING FILING INSTRUCTIONS?
FIND THEM AT www.lexisnexis.com/printcdsc

Use the search tool provided to find and download missing filing instructions, or sign on to the Print & CD Service Center to order missing pages or replacement materials. Visit us soon to see what else the Print & CD Service Center can do for you!



www.lexis.com

**Copyright © 2018 Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.
Publication 527, Release 59, April 2018**

LexisNexis, the knowledge burst logo, and Michie are trademarks of Reed Elsevier Properties Inc., used under license. Matthew Bender is a registered trademark of Matthew Bender Properties Inc.