### **PUBLICATION UPDATE**

Route to:		

## Civil RICO

Publication 527 Release 65 April 2021

### **HIGHLIGHTS**

#### **Recent Case Law**

 Release 65 discusses numerous important new cases related to the RICO statute.

Recent developments discussed in Release 65 include:

In Snowden v. Lexmark Int'l, the 6th Circuit held that the addition of copyright to RICO's list of predicate offenses could not be given retroactive effect in either criminal or civil RICO prosecutions. Other courts have responded to this statutory mission creep by limiting new predicates to the stated objectives for their addition. See Chapter 6, Instituting a Civil RICO Action, ¶ 6.00.

In *Hemi Group, LLC v. City of New York*, the Supreme Court held that RICO's proximate cause element could not be satisfied solely by reference to the foreseeability of injury, reaffirming once again the centrality

of the issue of direct injury. The Supreme Court has repeatedly emphasized the importance of a direct relationship between defendant's wrongful conduct and the RICO injury suffered by the plaintiff. This requirement is often referred to as a "direct relationship" requirement for proximate cause. See Chapter 6, Instituting a Civil RICO Action, ¶ 6.04[3].

In Lexmark Int'l, Inc. v. Static Control Components, Inc., the Supreme Court cited its RICO proximate cause standard and extended it to issues of causation involving other federal statutory claims. See Chapter 6, Instituting a Civil RICO Action, ¶ 6.04[3].

In *Torres v. S.G.E. Management*, LLC, the Fifth Circuit extended its prior post-Bridge precedent holding reliance was not a necessary element of a RICO claim to the issue of class certification. *See* Chapter 6, *Instituting a Civil RICO Action*, ¶ 6.05[2].

In ASI, Inc. v. Aquawood, LLC, a district court rejected a Rule 9(b) challenge to federal fraud predicates based upon the failure to plead reliance, and it did so because reliance is not an essential element of federal fraud. See Chapter 7, Pretrial Proceedings, ¶ 7.02.

In Cisneros v. Petland, Inc., the Eleventh Circuit applied the particularly in pleading requirement to reject mail fraud claims that "arise from a single transaction." Cisneros held that a single transaction can give rise to only one mail fraud offense, regardless of the number of mailings involved, and rejected a RICO complaint identifying only one transaction. See Chapter 7, Pretrial Proceedings, ¶ 7.02[5].

In Muskegan Hotels, LLC v. Patel, the Seventh Circuit dismissed a RICO complaint against a law firm, holding that a "complaint that does no more than allege that a law firm performed legal work for an enterprise fails to state a violation under § 1962(c)," or § 1962(d). See Chapter 7, Pretrial Proceedings, ¶ 7.02[7][a][v].

In *PacifiCare Health Systems, Inc. v. Book*, the Supreme Court held that RICO claims are subject to arbitration notwithstanding an arbitration

provision's ban on punitive damages, concluding that the effect of such a limitation was for the arbitrator to decide in the first instance. *See* Chapter 9, *Defenses*, ¶ 9.04[1].

In Janvey v. Alguire, the Fifth Circuit distinguished between two types of equitable estoppel: (i) the intertwined claims theory that "governs motions to compel arbitration when a signatory-plaintiff brings an action against a nonsignatory-defendant asserting claims dependent on a contract that includes an arbitration agreement that the defendant did not sign;" and (ii) the direct benefits theory which "prevents a nonsignatory from knowingly exploiting an agreement containing the arbitration clause." Concurring Judge Higginbotham would have gone one step farther to hold that "arbitration agreements may be rejected when they are instruments of a criminal enterprise." See Chapter 9, Defenses, ¶ 9.04[1].

In Henry Schein, Inc. v. Archer and White Sales, Inc., the Supreme Court held that such agreements must be enforced even if federal courts determine that the argument for arbitration is "wholly groundless." See Chapter 9, Defenses, ¶ 9.04[1].

Matthew Bender provides continuing customer support for all its products:

- Editorial assistance—please consult the "Questions About This Publication" directory printed on the copyright page;
- Customer Service—missing pages, shipments, billing or other customer
- service matters, +1.800.833.9844.
- Outside the United States and Canada, +1.937.247.0293, or fax (+1.800.828.8341) or email (international@bender.com);
- Toll-free ordering (+1.800.223.1940)
   or visit
   www.lexisnexis.com/BrowseUs.



www.lexis.com

Copyright © 2021 Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group. Publication 527, Release 65, April 2021

LexisNexis, the knowledge burst logo, and Michie are trademarks of Reed Elsevier Properties Inc., used under license. Matthew Bender is a registered trademark of Matthew Bender Properties Inc.

## FILING INSTRUCTIONS

# Civil RICO

Public	ation 527	Release	65			April	2021
Check As Done							
	set is filed thro release. If you	ugh Release r set is not f	Number 64. I filed through	f the set is cu Release Nur	urrent, proce nber 64, DC	hould indicate the dwith the filing NOT file this in bringing you	g of this release.
	2. This Release	e Number 65	5 contains on	ly White Re	vision pages	S.	
	3. Circulate the of this release.		on Update" an	nong those in	ndividuals in	nterested in the c	ontents

Check	Remove Old	Insert New
As	Pages Numbered	Pages Numbered
Done	<del></del>	

For faster and easier filing, all references are to right-hand pages only.

## **VOLUME 1**

Revision		
	Title page	Title page
	6-4.1 thru 6-4.3	6-4.1 thru 6-4.5
	6-29 thru 6-35	6-29 thru 6-36.1
	6-57 thru 6-61	6-57 thru 6-62.1
	6-90.5	6-90.5 thru 6-90.6(1)
	6-183 thru 6-190.7	6-183 thru 6-190.9
	7-3 thru 7-19	7-3 thru 7-20.3
	7-24.5 thru 7-30.8(1)	7-25 thru 7-30.8(5)
	7-30.15 thru 7-30.20(13)	7-30.15 thru 7-30.20(14)(e)
	7-30.23	7-30.23
	7-30.30(1) thru 7-30.32(6)(a)	7-30.31 thru 7-30.32(6)(m)
	8-1 thru 8-5	8-1 thru 8-5
	9-1 thru 9-2.1	9-1 thru 9-2.1
	9-41 thru 9-50.1	9-41 thru 9-50.11
	9-61	9-61 thru 9-62.1
	9-81 thru 9-84.1	9-81 thru 9-84.3
	9-99 thru 9-100.2(1)	9-99 thru 9-100.2(3)
	9-114.2(1) thru 9-114.15	9-114.3 thru 9-114.17
	10-1 thru 10-7	10-1 thru 10-8.1
	10-42.1	10-42.1 thru 10-42.2(1)
П	TC-1 thru I-25	TC-1 thru I-25

## FILE IN THE FRONT OF THE FIRST VOLUME OF YOUR SET

To order missing pages log on to our self service center, www.lexisnexis.com/printcdsc or call Customer Services at 1 (800) 833-9844 and have the following information ready:

- (1) the publication title;
- (2) specific volume, chapter and page numbers; and
- (3) your name, phone number, and Matthew Bender account number.

Please recycle removed pages.

# MISSING FILING INSTRUCTIONS? FIND THEM AT www.lexisnexis.com/printcdsc

Use the search tool provided to find and download missing filing instructions, or sign on to the Print & CD Service Center to order missing pages or replacement materials. Visit us soon to see what else the Print & CD Service Center can do for you!



www.lexis.com

Copyright © 2021 Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group. Publication 527, Release 65, April 2021

LexisNexis, the knowledge burst logo, and Michie are trademarks of Reed Elsevier Properties Inc., used under license. Matthew Bender is a registered trademark of Matthew Bender Properties Inc.